RIFFE REPORTING

1	FORT McCLELLAN RESTORATION ADVISORY BOARD
2	GENERAL MEETING
3	COMMENCING
4	MONDAY, MAY 18, 1998
5	6:40 P.M.
6	
7	CONDUCTED AT
8	THE ANNISTON CITY MEETING CENTER
9	1615 NOBLE STREET
10	ANNISTON, ALABAMA 36201
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	[The Fort McClellan Advisory Board
2	Meeting was called to order at 6:40 p.m
3	by Mr. Fernand Thomassy. Mr. Thomassy
4	then proceeded with roll call.]
5	MR. THOMASSY: Mr. Turner?
6	MR. TURNER: I'm here.
7	MR. THOMASSY: Mr. Brown? He just
8	walked out, didn't he?
9	MR. ANDERSON: Yeah, he's here.
10	MR. THOMASSY: Pete? [Conroy]
11	MR. CONROY: Here.
12	MR. THOMASSY: Dr. Cox.
13	[Dr. Cox was not present.]
14	MR. THOMASSY: Mr. Cunningham.
15	[Mr. Cunningham was not present.]
16	MR. THOMASSY: Mr. Elser.
17	MR. ELSER: Here.
18	MR. THOMASSY: Ms. Harrington.
19	MS. HARRINGTON: I'm here.
20	MR. THOMASSY: Mr. Hood.
21	[Mr. Hood was not present.]
22	MR. THOMASSY: Mayor Kimbrough.
23	MAYOR KIMBROUGH: I'm here.
24	MR. THOMASSY: Ms. Longstreth?
25	MS. LONGSTRETH: Here.

1	MR. THOMASSY: She just walked in.
2	Mr. Miller?
3	MR. MILLER: Here.
4	MR. THOMASSY: Mr. Faust.
5	MR. FAUST: Here.
6	MR. THOMASSY: Mr. Parks.
7	MR. PARKS: Here.
8	MR. THOMASSY: Mr. Buford.
9	[Mr. Buford was not present.]
10	MR. THOMASSY: Mr. Reedy.
11	MR. REEDY: Here.
12	MR. THOMASSY: Johnson, Chris Johnson.
13	[Mr. Johnson was not present.]
14	MR. THOMASSY: We have four, six, ten,
15	twelve, and that includes Ron Levy, so we
16	have eleven. We have a quorom. Ten, eleven,
17	twelve. That's right. Twelve. Very good.
18	Everybody's got a copy, I believe, of
19	the minutes from the last meeting. Do I have
20	any comments or input on those minutes?
21	Yes, Mr. Faust.
22	MR. FAUST: Just a minor detail. If I
23	ever need this for an alibi, I was in
24	attendance at the last meeting. I wasn't
25	listed there.

1	MR. THOMASSY: All right, members
2	present, were there any other members present
3	who were not listed on there? Please add Mr.
4	Faust.
5	Any other comments, additions, changes
6	to the minutes?
7	[No comment from any members.]
8	Then we should approve the minutes. Do
9	I have a motion?
10	MR. ANDERSON: So move.
11	MR. TURNER: Second.
12	MR. THOMASSY: All those in favor of
13	approval of the minutes, say aye.
14	[All members indicated by saying aye.]
15	Opposed?
16	[No members opposed.]
17	Minutes are approved.
18	Before we get into the presentation
19	which is really our discussion, questions,
20	and comments to the base clean-up team on the
21	base clean-up plan, I would like to recognize
22	the members of the community, and we have at
23	least two members who have taken the time to
24	come in, and we certainly appreciate your
25	time to come in and find out what the

Restoration Advisory Board is doing and
possibly what we're about, and I'll just take
a minute, and then I'll turn it over to Mr.
Levy who is probably much better versed in
explaining exactly what this is all about
because Mr. Levy is the environmental
coordinator for Fort McClellan and is a full
time Army civilian in that position.

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The Restoration Advisory Board is the group that you see here put together of volunteers from the community who are willing to put their time and their effort into looking at the Environmental Cleanup Plan in general for Fort McClellan and advising the Army from their interests and their perspectives on how the community sees it, , what the community desires, and what we as representatives on the board feel that the community requires and getting forward with the clean up of Fort McClellan as it gets to closure and, of course, afterwards. In addition, this board has the responsibility to carry that message in the other direction and advise as we do, hopefully, through our process here, the community and the community

1	members on what's going on at Fort McClellan
2	with regard to the clean up, the
3	environmental clean up, and the environmental
4	compliance status as they go through the
5	clean up. So we have gone through almost a
6	couple of years of activity in getting
7	ourselves formulated and also beginning to
8	learn about the clean up process and the
9	activities and the problems at Fort
10	McClellan, and so what you will see tonight
11	is an extension of that because we have been
12	briefed and we have had the opportunity to go
13	through, probably, the key document in
14	getting a lot of things done at Fort
15	McClellan called the Base Cleanup Plan. This
16	plan is in front of us, and we're going to go
17	ahead and discuss it among ourselves tonight
18	and bring up questions and comments to and
19	for the Army on what we know about it at this
20	time. It's in the first draft, and so it is
21	far, far from being complete. In addition,
22	this Base Cleanup Plan is a living document.
23	It will continue to evolve, expand, and
24	change as we go through the closure, as we go
25	through the development of Fort McClellan for

1	many years to come.
2	Ron, would you like to add anything to
3	that?
4	MR. LEVY: No, other than to say that
5	our efforts here tonight are really to give
6	us input into the document and our program,
7	so we're looking; we're soliciting
8	information from the RAB, but I think since
9	Bart and I represent the BRAC Cleanup Team
10	here tonight, and I apologize for Chris
11	because he had a very important commitment
12	that he just couldn't break free from.
13	Bart wants to talk to you a little bit
14	about this Version I and what we've gone
15	through on this. Let me let Bart talk about
16	that before we start in on comments relating
17	to the documents.
18	MR. THOMASSY: Excuse me. One thing,
19	and I was in error also. I wanted to point
20	out for the members of the community if you
21	have the board here, and as I said the co
22	chairman of the board is Mr. Ron Levy, the
23	environmental coordinator for Ft. McClellan

and also a standing member of the board who

advises us, is Mr. Bart Reedy from the

24

1	Environmental Protection Agency, and it's
2	Region IV; correct -
3	MR. REEDY: Yes.
4	MR. THOMASSY: in Atlanta, and in
5	addition, Mr. Chris Johnson who is from your
6	Alabama Department of Environmental
7	Management in Montgomery, and they're here
8	for every meeting unless something very
9	unusual comes up as it did for Mr. Johnson.
LO	Excuse me?
11	MR. REEDY: When the idea of closing all
12	the bases started to hit the floor and all
13	the bases that are closing started going
L4	through their process, DOD got a really, from
15	an EPA point of view, a peculiar perspective
16	on it and put together what we refer to as
17	the Purple Book, and it really is a real good
18	outline of what needs be done to make sure
19	that everything gets tied together from
20	closing the incinerator at the hospital to
21	making sure all the aluminum cans are picked
22	up, and then all of it is documented, and
23	there is some logic and flow to it, and
24	that's what the Purple Book does, and what we

have done here is this is the first cut at

1	ours based on the guidance from DOD that
2	we'll call the Purple Book; this is Version
3	I.
4	Now, as a matter of fact I believe it
5	does even say yes, it does say Draft
6	Version I. About, oh, eight months ago,
7	something like that, ten months ago, the
8	Army, well, they've been doing it a little
9	while longer than that, but Ron decided and
10	with concurrence from EPA and the state and
11	everybody they said, yeah, we think it's a
12	pretty good idea to go with what is known as
13	the TERC contractor, Total Environmental
14	Restoration Contractor. The Navy has these
15	kind of contracts and has had them for years.
16	Air Force is doing the same thing, and you
17	hire a contractor, and the contractor will be
18	on board from the time of writing this book
19	all the way through to the end of it, and
20	will be on board for all the clean up
21	projects. They do all the investigation
22	projects and all that. Those are the people
23	that we're going to.
24	This version that you're looking at
25	right now was written by a contractor for Ron

1	and for us to use by an old, by a contractor
2	that is fixing to be phased out, and this is
3	Iguess, the final work product out of those
4	people. There is some more SI work to be
5	done by those guys, so there's a contractual
6	issue going on here.
7	The update of this or the finalization

The update of this or the finalization of it where our comments and your comments are all incorporated in will be done by another contractor. Does that make any sense?

[All board members indicated in the affirmative.]

Okay, and while there may be a little you can step back from it and see a little
down time from going from one contractor to
another, overall going from one contractor to
another one. Before we get right in the
middle of the heavy investigation and cleanup
phase is the best time to do it. We don't
want to switch horses directly in mid stream.
We're kind of still on the shore here. So
that's the story on the version number that
you see, how it's going to be changed, and
when it will happen.

1	I just spoke with Lisa and we have funds
2	just about available for starting work on the
3	supplemental to this. We're not sure exactly
4	contractually what we have to call it, what
5	Ron has to call it, but the update of this.
6	MR. TURNER: Will the replacement
7	contractor be working on another draft,
8	or will it be -
9	MR. REEDY: No.
10	MR. TURNER: Or will it be a final
11	version of the base cleanup plan?
12	MR. REEDY: It will be a final
13	version of this version. Let me back
14	up, Charles. This is a draft.
15	MR. TURNER: I understand.
16	MR. REEDY: Okay.
17	MR. TURNER: Will the next
18	iteration version -
19	MR. REEDY: Yes.
20	MR. TURNER: be a completely
21	different document?
22	MR. REEDY: No, no, what will
23	happen, the next version is they will
24	take all of our comments, all of our
25	suggestions, and then all of us being

1	Ron, Chris, Lisa, Cord, everybody and
2	we'll get together. We'll go through
3	everybody's comments and incorporate
4	those that are applicable, discuss the
5	ones that aren't briefly, throw them
6	out, and then redo this document.
7	MR. TURNER: And then that will be
8	the final base cleanup plan?
9	MR. REEDY: That will be the final
10	base cleanup plan, but now keep in mind
11	I don't want to mislead you.
12	MR. TURNER: But it's a living
13	document.
14	MR. REEDY: There is not really the
15	final. DOD may change its mind. You
16	know, we have the capacity and are
17	instructed really to do so every once in
18	awhile to update this thing. So, a
19	couple of years from now later down into
20	the project we may need to update it to
21	reflect reality.
22	MR. TURNER: And when do we
23	anticipate this document? The Version
24	II?
25	MR. REEDY: The Version II?

1		MR.	TURNER:	Yeah.
2		MR.	REEDY:	Lisa and I were kicking
3	that a	aroui	nd and prob	ably realistically
4	six m	onth	s before a	document finally
5	hits	your	before	a document is
6	sitti	ng i	n front of	you, but do not be,
7	don't	con	fuse that w	ith thinking that
8	we're	jus	t out there	floundering around
9	and no	ot fo	ollowing th	e things that are
10	alread	dy o	utlined in	here.
11		MR.	TURNER:	Okay.
12		MR.	REEDY:	Because we are doing
13	that.			
14		MR.	TURNER:	Will that have any
15	affec	t on	the ROD?	
16		MR.	REEDY:	The what?
17		MR.	TURNER:	The Record of
18	Decis	ion.		
19		MR.	REEDY:	Oh, absolutely not.
20		MR.	TURNER:	What about the
21	issua	nce,	the beginn	ing of the FOST and
22	FOSL]	proc	ess?	
23		MR.	REEDY:	Negative, no impact at
24	all.			
25		MR.	TURNER:	It won't have any

1	effect; so it will only have an effect
2	on the planning aspect of cleaning up
3	the base.
4	MR. REEDY: Not a heck of a lot of
5	effect there.
6	MR. TURNER: Right. Okay.
	MR. ANDERSON: When is the
	theoretical public comment period on
	this version of it then?
10	MR. LEVY: This particular document
11	is really a regulatory Army document.
12	The document is not really open from the
13	standpoint of public comment period.
14	MR. ANDERSON: Yeah, it's not
15	something that gets posted in the Fed
16	Register that you have to -
17	MR. LEVY: The advisory board is
18	going to get to review it and provide
19	comments to us so we can try to address
20	those.
21	MR. TURNER: But our comments don't
22	really matter. It's not like an
23	Environmental Impact Statement or
24	something like that, right; where the
25	comments have to be, the public comment

1	period. There is no public comment
2	period.
3	MR. LEVY: There is no legal
4	authority for public comment in this
5	particular document.
6	MR. REEDY: Yeah, right. Most of
7	what is laid out in this document is
8	really a combination of DOD policy, EPA
9	policy, the experience DOD has had at
10	other bases, and the experience that
11	we've had at other bases, but this
12	really is kind of a it's heavily
13	laden in policy, and that's what will be
14	reflected as opposed to the documents
15	you'll see in a couple of months from
16	now which will be the investigative, you
17	know, the SI documents that will be pure
18	investigative work.
19	MR. LEVY: It tends to be very
20	technical stuff.
21	MR. REEDY: Yeah.
22	MR. PARKS: If the reuse plan
23	changes does that alter this?
24	MR. REEDY: Only, sir, in the sense
25	that it might change a category, change

1	the site from one category to another
2	category. That would impact it, sure.
3	MR. LEVY: We will make every
4	attempt to prioritization in terms of
5	cleanup where the Reuse Authority is
6	interested in getting another piece of
7	property. If it's within our ability to
8	change we'll make every attempt.
9	MR. REEDY: We'll make it happen.
10	MR. THOMASSY: Ron, a question I
11	have; this effort will go on certainly
12	according to this schedule for many
13	years. This shows, of course, running
14	out in the year 2006 and probably
15	beyond. There are certain Army elements
16	that will be necessary to see that this
17	functions. What elements will remain
18	here in the Fort McClellan area in order
19	to operate these contracts, run these
20	schedules, be the liaison, oversee what
21	goes on until it's all done?
22	MR. LEVY: Yeah, that's a good
23	question. I think I can answer that
24	adequately and one part added to that.
25	Everybody knows that come 1 October

1	in '99 that the active duty mission will
2	have ended at Fort McClellan. Now we'll
3	move into a transition caretaker role.
4	The length, the size of the caretaker
5	and the length of time that the
6	caretaker force is here is not really
7	been determined, completely determined.
8	There will be a caretaker force, and we
9	know there are certain numbers
10	associated with that. Some of those
11	numbers are inclusive of the
12	environmental requirements and
13	environmental office. There will be a
14	presence of a BRAC environmental
15	coordinator at Fort McClellan. That
16	would be myself in my position, and that
17	role will stay as long as there is
18	property to transfer.
19	Now in terms of the cleanup issues,
20	and most of you know this, most of our
21	contracts for cleanup go through the
22	Corps of Engineers. That's a Corp of
23	Engineer contract, and most of the money
24	is released to the Corp for the
25	contractual effort, and that will always

1	be there. The Mobile District Corp of
2	Engineers is not going away. So the
3	technical manager, their program
4	manager, their project manager, will
5	still be there working with the
6	environmental piece that's still at the
7	installation which will carry over.
8	The plan calls for a presence, an
9	environmental presence, an individual to
10	be there for as long as there's property
11	to transfer.
12	MR. REEDY: To tag along on that,
13	the lady that sits right next to me back
14	in Atlanta is dealing with, and I can't
15	even remember the name of it now, Air
16	Force base in North Carolina right on
17	the water, and they have been closed for
18	a good long time in caretaker status,
19	and the same thing that Ron just
20	described to you is working out very
21	well there. Does that answer you?
22	MR. THOMASSY: Yeah, that does. As
23	a follow-on to that I think probably
24	that should be addressed somewhere in
25	the next version of the base cleanup

1	plan so that you have points of contacts
2	in your that description, probably
3	should be laid out for those who read
4	it.
5	MR. REEDY: The points of contact
6	that are in there. What I've heard you
7	say though, Fern, is you would like to
8	see something in there that says the
9	Army will have a permanent point of
LO	contact.
11	MR. THOMASSY: Right. Who it is,
12	yeah, describe it, those entities that
13	will be operating under these items that
L 4	are on the schedule.
15	MR. ANDERSON: I've got kind of a
L6	continuation of that, too.
17	MR. REEDY: Brought a whole stack
18	of yellow stickers. [Referring to
19	yellow Post-It Notes.]
20	MR. TURNER: Ron, how long are you
21	going to be at Fort McClellan?
22	MR. LEVY: The plan for the BRAC at
23	Fort McClellan is to stay, and they will
24	continue to fund the position because
25	it's key to transferring the property,

1	meeting all the environmental
2	requirements associated. The plan for
3	my position is to be there for as long
4	as there's property still left to be
5	transferred, and Charles, you know as
6	well as I do assuming that we were to go
7	by the reuse authority -
8	MR. TURNER: Your grandchildren
9	will be -
10	MR. LEVY: Hopefully we can
11	transfer the property fairly quickly.
12	We'll get to the point where we can
13	transfer it fairly quickly, but that
14	still remains to be seen, what the end
15	state is. I don't know that anybody can
16	actually say that come 2003 or 2005
17	we'll be finished with all the
18	transfers, so I don't know that we can
19	tie that number down or that year down.
20	MR. ANDERSON: Plus you've got the
21	surplus, or correction, the well
22	monitoring that should go on in
23	perpetuity.
24	MR.LEVY: Well, in terms of long
25	term monitoring requirements and having

1	a presence there, really the presence
2	from the environmental standpoint of
3	having a point of contact standpoint on
4	the ground is going to be related to
5	land transfers if there's long-term
6	monitoring where the property's not
7	going to be transferred out of the
8	federal control, and that can be
9	accomplished either through the Corp of
10	Engineer contracts or some other entity
11	doing the work, you know, at another
12	location, but coming back to McClellan
13	to do that.
14	MR. ANDERSON: A corollary to
15	Fern's question a moment ago, and this
16	is something you and I have talked
17	about. Since the position is related to
18	the land transfer, you said before to me
19	privately that you think that your
20	funding line for Fort McClellan funding,
21	anyway, will continue until those land
22	transfers are complete as well, that it
23	won't get buried in some other
24	installation's, whoever has your TDA,
25	for instance.

1	MR. LEVY:	My understanding, and
2	most of you understand that because	
3	we're a BRAC installation we receive our	
4	funding for cleanup through BRAC	
5	dollars. '95 BRAC installations, the	
6	funding is supposed to be completed for	
7	BRAC dollars now by the year 2001.	
8	However, ongoing cleanup costs	
9	associated with the installation are	
10	still being programmed, and funding is	
11	still to be forthcoming. However the	
12	dollars may change. They may go from	
13	being what they call BRAC dollars to OMA	
14	dollars which is operation and	
15	Maintenance dollars. And that's been	
16	addressed through the Army that they	
17	believe that BRAC '95 installations will	
18	require, because of long-term	
19	requirements, additional dollars past	
20	the 2001 time frame and therefore we	
21	need to program and budget for those	
22	dollars. And that's the plan. We've	
23	got costs to complete after the year	
24	2008 initiative. Cost to complete out	
25	to the year 2008 for Fort McClellan	

1	right now.
2	MR. TURNER: Is that included in
3	the UXO?
4	MR. LEVY: It includes, yeah,
5	everything.
6	MR. TURNER: Everything, so that's
7	what you would think when the last
8	property ought to be able to be
9	transferred?
10	MR. LEVY: Actually what it is we
11	just made a lot of assumptions that
12	there would be property or programs
13	going on that far. It's a probably
14	worst-case scenario is what we did. It
15	could turn out to be that 2008 -
16	MR. TURNER: And the property could
17	be transferred while cleanup's ongoing;
18	right?
19	MR. LEVY: This is true, depending
20	on whether or not the recipient is
21	willing to take it in that particular
22	condition.
23	MR. PARKS: If the Mobile Corp is
24	going to do the actual contracting for
25	the clean up, how come they don't have a

1	member on the base cleanup team?
2	MR. REEDY: Oh, they do. Yes, sir,
3	yes, sir, the man's name is Ellis Pope
4	and the other fellow is I'm drawing a
5	complete blank.
6	MR. LEVY: John Whiting.
7	MR. REEDY: John Whiting.
8	MR. LEVY: I stand corrected. Not
9	listed. There are some things that
10	we've got to make changes to.
11	MR. TURNER: So there are other
12	members of the Base Cleanup Team besides
13	you, Chris, and Bart?
14	MR. LEVY: Well, there's a core
15	part to the Base Cleanup Team which
16	consists of me, Chris, and Bart, and
17	there's members that are support members
18	which includes Lisa, Ellis Pope;
19	actually our contractors are support
20	members to that team.
21	MR. CONROY: Is the complete list
22	available?
23	MR. LEVY: Certainly we can get it
24	to you.
25	MS. KINGSBURY: Complete list, yes.

1	MR. TURNER: The complete list of
2	the base cleanup team.
3	MR. CONROY: To be honest I just
4	thought it was the three of you.
5	MR. REEDY: Shame on us for not
6	making it more clear. DOD actually kind
7	of took the lead on this, and the EPA
8	said it's a really good idea. There are
9	three points of contact, and that's Ron,
10	myself, and the fellow from ADEM that's
11	not here, Chris Johnson.
12	The decision-making power, if you
13	want to call it that, yeah, that's close
14	enough, is us, but at the same time
15	there's Ellis, John Whiting, Lisa,
16	Jeannie Yacoub who is IT contractor, the
17	TERK contractor that I was just telling
18	you about. Those people sit at the same
19	table we do when we get together and
20	discuss how to get from point A to point
21	B. What's the best way to clean this up
22	or what's the best way to look at it?
23	They're right there.
24	MR. LEVY: And we'll even have a
25	member from Huntsville who will be part

1	of the team as we start to get more
2	involved with UXO issues.
3	MR. TURNER: What about a member
4	from the development commission? Do
5	y'all have somebody?
6	MR. REEDY: DOD I think really die
7	another good idea here in that the RAB
8	and the development commission are
9	advisories, and -
10	MR. TURNER: The development
11	commission's not advisory. I mean its
12	function is not advisory.
13	MR. REEDY: We at the BRAC Cleanup
14	Team attempt to get the property that
15	you identify ready for transfer as soo
16	as we can get it done. You guys are -
17	the Fort McClellan Redevelopment
18	Commission is not in the business of
19	cleaning up the property. You're in th
20	business of telling us, you know, whic
21	ones are first, which one you'd like t
22	see first and second. The cleanup team
23	that we're talking about is kind of a
24	deliberative body, and there's a lot o
25	ideas that are expressed and kicked

1	around that never come to fruition.
2	All of that is to say that there is
3	a they really did not want the public
4	on the cleanup team. DOD did not want
5	the public on the cleanup team per se,
6	and we think that's a pretty good idea.
7	All of our stuff is done out in the
8	open.
	MR. TURNER: And so you don't need
10	input -
11	MR. REEDY: Negative, Charles.
12	MR. TURNER: from who you're
13	cleaning up the property for.
14	MR. REEDY: Negative. I did not
15	say that. We want your input and need
16	your input.
17	MR. TURNER: You just don't need it
18	at your meetings.
19	[Pause]
20	MR. REEDY: I'm a geologist,
21	Charlie.
22	MR. LEVY: We know that the FMDC
23	has asked. I talked to Rob about
24	sending me a letter to that effect so we
25	can address it. We really have not

1	addressed it back to the FMDC about a
2	link, but within the Base Cleanup Team
3	we've discussed the need to get together
4	with the FMDC on a certain basis, you
5	know, separate from our Base Cleanup
6	Teams to discuss the issues associated
7	with -
8	MR. TURNER: Why is it important to
9	keep the Base Cleanup Team segregated
10	from the Fort McClellan Development
11	Commission?
12	MR. LEVY: I don't think we did
13	that. In fact, you're not. This
14	particular body, the RAB, and the Base
15	Cleanup Team being a part of it and
16	we're discussing these issues is a forum
17	for that to happen. The RAB -
18	MR. TURNER: You've got meetings
19	where you've got somebody from the corp,
20	your contractor, Lisa, ADEM, EPA, and
21	you, but you don't need somebody from
22	the FMDC at those meetings. The
23	community's input through the RAB is
24	sufficient?
25	MR. LEVY: No, I didn't say that

1	Charles. That's not what I said.
2	MR. TURNER: I'm sorry. What did
3	you say?
4	MR. LEVY: I said that the issue
5	was still on the table and it was still
6	being discussed. There has not been a
7	formal position taken one way or the
8	other. I know that EPA has got a
9	position on it, but we've never
10	responded back to that, and what I asked
11	for Rob to do is send me a letter to
12	that effect so we could bring it up
13	amongst the BCT members and see what we
14	needed to do there, but that's as far as
15	it goes, so no, we have not addressed
16	the issue completely. I was waiting for
17	him to come back with a formal response
18	to that, but, you know, we have not made
19	a determination one way or the other,
20	and I know Bart, the EPA's got a
21	position on this and so does the state,
22	but again, we really want to see
23	something come back to us asking us what
24	it is you desire.
25	MR. TURNER: Are your meetings open

1	to the public at this point?
2	MR. LEVY: At this point, no,
3	they're not.
4	MR. TURNER: But is everybody there
5	either directly or indirectly paid with
6	from the government?
7	MR. LEVY: They're all federal
8	employees to include the ADEM paid for
9	by federal dollars.
10	MR. THOMASSY: Ron, do you have a
11	Fort McClellan or other Army document
12	that states mission organization for the
13	Base Cleanup Team?
14	MR. LEVY: I'm sorry; I don't
15	understand the question.
16	MR. THOMASSY: Is there some
17	document that describes what the mission
18	and makeup organization of the Base
19	Cleanup Team should be?
20	MR. LEVY: There is, as Bart said,
21	the Purple Book that kind of lays out
22	the requirements of the Base Cleanup
23	Team. I'm sure that's a public document
24	that can be had. It talks about how
25	we're supposed to go about our process.

1	MR. ANDERSON: It's on the web
2	site.
3	MR. LEVY: And I don't remember
4	what it's called.
5	[To Ms. Kingsbury] Do you remember
6	the name of the document?
7	MS. KINGSBURY: It's the Purple
8	Book. It's 1993 BRAC Cleanup Plan
9	Guidance Book.
10	MR. THOMASSY: I bring that up
11	because that may answer some people's
12	questions on how the Army looks at a
13	BRAC, what its missions are, what its
14	limitations are as far as what the Army
15	expects it to do, what its membership
16	would be and would not be, and I would
17	think the Army would have made some
18	decisions on that, based on those
19	statements.
20	MR. REEDY: I think there might be
21	a little bit of a misconception, maybe,
22	maybe not, depends on what happens at
23	the team meetings. We've got a team
24	meeting coming up, well, June 2 through
25	June 5 in Knoxville.

1	The focus of that meeting is to
2	bring together experts that know a lot
3	more about one particular issue, either
4	Ron or myself or Chris Johnson, and that
5	is how do we go about determining what
6	level of and the particular instance
7	that comes to mind, what level lead is
8	acceptable to use as a background, and
9	then do we use two times that to
10	determine what is, what sample we took
11	at background? If it's above two times
12	that limit do we keep it or do we throw
13	it out as being an outlyer? We'll have,
14	one, two, three, probably four entities
15	of experts there holding forth
16	eloquently on whether it's 5,000 parts
17	or 500 parts and then based on that
18	whether we use two times the mean or
19	three times the mean, and then if it's
20	outside that do we keep it or throw it
21	out of our background setting?
22	That's what we do at our technical
23	at our BRAC meetings.
24	MR. CONROY: If somebody wanted to
25	sit through that kind of torture they

1	certainly wouldn't be prohibited from
2	sitting in on it; would they?
3	MR. REEDY: I don't think I'd go
4	that far. Sometimes there are some
5	fairly it's a deliberative process,
6	and it's EPA's experience and position
7	that it's best done with the people -
8	it's best done in the deliberative
9	process. Everything that we decide,
10	Charles, everything that is decided,
11	everything that is implemented is in
12	front of you for comment, for public
13	comment, for scrutiny.
14	MR. TURNER: Buddy, y'all need to
15	let the sunshine in.
16	MR. REEDY: Well, if it gets any
17	brighter we can't get anything at all
18	done, Charles.
19	MR. TURNER: It looks like y'all
20	are having trouble getting it done now.
21	MR. REEDY: Well, I wouldn't argue
22	a bit.
23	MR. FAUST: Who is the new
24	contractor? IT?
25	MR. REEDY: Yes.

1	MR. PARKS: Who develops the PWS?
2	Who is going to develop the work
3	statement for the cleanup that goes into
4	the solicitation process and all that
5	stuff?
6	MR. LEVY: The corp develops the
7	PWS with input from the BCT. This will
8	feed into the Performance Work Statement
9	and then go into the solicitation
10	package and then out for bids. Again
11	this in the broad sense, yes. We'll set
12	up the program, but the PWS for site -
13	and that's how we issue them, for site
14	investigations they are fairly
15	technical documents done on a site-by
16	site basis. So, this is a really very
17	broad document. It will set up the
18	broad scope of what PWS may look like,
19	timelines and such, but the actual
20	cleanup that has to be developed
21	specifically from technical knowledge on
22	the part of BCT members and the corp.
23	MR. PARKS: Will the RAB get a copy
24	of the PWS?
25	MR. LEVY: I will tell you that the

1	RAB's certainly welcome to once it's
2	been settled from a contractual
3	standpoint, the PWS.
4	MR. ANDERSON: In other words once
5	the task order or whatever has been
6	issued and signed, the whole bit; right?
7	MR. REEDY: Right.
8	MR. LEVY: I know we can make that
9	available, but we need to get through
10	the contracting process first.
11	MR. CONROY: What's that acronym
12	you were using?
13	MR. PARKS: It's a work statement
14	that tells exactly what timelines
15	they're going to clean up, what
16	timelines they're going to clean it up
17	in.
18	MR. CONROY: What was it called
19	again?
20	MR. PARKS: The Performance Work
21	Statement that goes to the contractor.
22	Yeah, Statement of Work. It's called
23	PWS, too, or Performance Work Statement.
24	MR. CONROY: I'm learning the
25	language slowly.

1	MR. MILLER: We didn't have a clue.
2	MR. PARKS: That's what the
3	contractors will bid on, that document.
4	MR. LEVY: Let me see if we can't
5	bring the focus back to the BCP again,
6	and then go through the BCP and see what
7	kind of comments that we can solicit
8	from you in that respect.
9	Okay, could I ask for maybe a show
10	of hands of how many folks have actually
11	had a chance to go through and look at
12	it briefly?
13	[The board members responded.]
14	Good; that's really great.
15	MR. CONROY: "Read it" might be an
16	exaggeration. "Go through it"; I'm okay
17	with that.
18	MR. LEVY: Well, I understand that.
19	It's pretty dry
20	MR. TURNER: I looked at the
21	pictures.
22	MR. LEVY: Let me ask you, in terms
23	of, just to start some discussion here,
24	in terms of the format of the document
25	and how it's formatted, did anybody have

1	any comments related to that?
2	MR. TURNER: Do you think it's
3	necessary for everything to be this
4	dense?
5	MR. REEDY: Say again?
6	MR. TURNER: This dense, I mean
7	it's just it's really like reading
8	the phone book.
9	MR. REEDY: Pretty exciting stuff.
10	MR. LEVY: In order to make, ensure
11	that we've captured the right background
12	because there's a lot of background
13	information here. It leads into why
14	we're doing what we're doing. It is
15	pretty dense. When you actually look at
16	that part that talks about the cleanup
17	it's really not that -
18	MR. TURNER: I exaggerated. It's
19	not that bad.
20	MR. LEVY: In terms of the if
21	you'd like we can maybe focus on -
22	MR. THOMASSY: We can focus on a
23	couple of items right here because Mayor
24	Kimbrough brought it up, and it's been
25	bugging me, and I've asked questions

Τ.	about it several times over the last
2	year. You have some compliance program
3	schedules and restoration program
4	schedules in here. One of the things
5	that the board needs, I feel we need is
6	a schedule on other procedures,
7	documentation, for instance, so that we
8	have some baseline against which to tal
9	and measure what the Army is doing. For
10	instance the question came up, what is
11	the original baseline for the
12	environmental, I mean for the Base
13	Cleanup Plan, and are we online? What
14	are the next procedures, and what are
15	the next date goals to get things
16	accomplished? Within that schedule like
17	that we should have, even though it's
18	new words in here, the Environmental
19	Impact Statement and the ROD, and what
20	other documentation is out there that
21	has to be accomplished, and when is it
22	going to be accomplished? That type of
23	schedule should be in this document.
24	MR. REEDY: I think it's kind of
25	fortuitous that it happened this way.

1	1	We've got a lot of Category 7 sites out
2		there, and Category 7 means that we
3	•	don't know. We heard something might
4	Ţ	have happened from that all the way to
5		"I buried it there." But we think
6		that's a problem. We can't schedule all
7		of the investigative work and all the
8		remedial work on that because we haven't
9		even done what we commonly call a site
10		investigation yet. They're just
11	;	starting right now. In fact, the folks
12		that did this, and I forgot when I said
13		it, they've got one little wad of site
14		investigations going on right now. When
15		that gets back and we start getting an
16		idea of how many of these grave sites
17	:	are out here, do we actually think
18		there'll be a problem, you will see a
19	-	very exact schedule, and when the draft
20		is coming in and when the final's coming
21		in, is that the kind of thing you're
22		talking about? When we're going to get
23		the thing cleaned up, how long it's
24		going to take, those kinds of things.
25		MR. THOMASSY: Yeah, wouldn't you

1	know, and there are certain things for
2	certain documents you do know now.
3	Certainly the existence of the Base
4	Cleanup Plan is one we've known existed
5	since we've been aboard, and I don't
6	think we ever got our arms around when
7	that was to be done in Draft Version I;
8	how long after Draft Version I to
9	Version II; what's the goal for the next
10	one; why would it be done; how long will
11	it take? Maybe it would be a year, but
12	maybe it wouldn't be done unless some
13	other unknown occurred, these type of
14	things. And I'm trying to also get down
15	in a list that has specific dates tied
16	to it where they can be known. All the
17	documentation and all the activities
18	that we need to know about and that the
19	public should know about that would take
20	place.
21	MR. REEDY: I concur. I concur.
22	MR. LEVY: Let me try to address
23	some of that.
24	MR. REEDY: Sure.
25	MR. LEVY: And I understand what

1	you're saying because there are a lot of
2	other environmental actions that are
3	ongoing now that are not inclusive of
4	this document because this document only
5	focuses on the cleanup factor. Matter
6	of fact, the BRAC Cleanup Team's only
7	focus here is on the cleanup. The NEPA
8	documentation is ongoing isn't even a
9	part of the BRAC Cleanup Team's effort.
10	It's a TRADOC Army document that they're
11	doing. Although we feed into it,
12	provide information and comment to it,
13	it's really not our document, and it's
14	not part of the cleanup effort. It
15	meets the NEPA requirements, but it
16	doesn't do anything to us in terms of
17	the cleanup, and there are other actions
18	that are going on out there, but all the
19	cleanup actions, they're all inclusive
20	of this.
21	The problem with this document that
22	Iguess you kind of alluded to was that
23	there isn't specific set dates, and
24	that's a tough thing for us to do
25	because there's a lot of unknowns. As

1	Bart was saying some of these	
2	investigations are from the gravesite,	
3	and we just don't know yet. Until the	
4	investigations are complete, until we	
5	can say definitively that we have got	
6	something there, we can't give you any	
7	exact dates as to how we're going to get	
8	to the cleanup because we may be going	
9	to actually walk away from some of these	
10	sites and say there is nothing there	
11	that we can find whether derives a risk	
12	to go to cleanup.	
13	MR. ANDERSON: You can't do that	
14	for like the 1B1? The Buckner Circle	
15	area?	
16	MR. LEVY: For 1B1, it's certainly	
17	a Category 1, and there isn't really a	
18	cleanup drive there other than the fact	
19	that we've got lead-based paint and	
20	asbestos issues associated with those	
21	structures. We can transfer those "As	
22	Is", yes.	
23	MR. ANDERSON: I had two general	
24	comments about the document. One was it	
25	would be nice to have just an executive	

1	summary that really summarizes this is
2	what we're going to do. The second
3	thing is that within the parameters of
4	what you just discussed, it would be
5	good if we had break-downs by parcel of
6	what's going to happen within the
7	parcel. I'm talking about timeline
8	within the parcel.
9	For instance for 1B1; we see the
10	timeline there, and it matches. It
11	starts at the same time that 1B2, 1B3,
12	and so on do, and what we were kind of
13	just kind of whispering over here was we
14	agree with you. There's things we can
15	probably turn over early, you know, in
16	those areas, if that's the case, and it
17	will be just good if we can see. We
18	think we can certify Building 47 I
19	don't really know what the building is -
20	- by this date so that we can tell these
21	guys we think we can FOST and FOSL these
22	things to meet your timeline.
23	MR. TURNER: And then we can tell
24	the people who are buying it the same
25	thing.

1	MR. REEDY: What I'm hearing you
2	say is actually two different things,
3	and correct me if I'm not tracking with
4	you and Fern here on this. One is give
5	us a timeline for property availability
6	We can do that on all of the Category 1
7	II, and III sites, I guess. That's
8	certainly doable. We can make that
9	happen, but that's a little bit
10	different.
11	MR. THOMASSY: Yeah, that's
12	different.
13	MR. ANDERSON: But also if you're
14	giving us a timeline by parcel, tell us
15	what's going to happen within the
16	parcel. What's the timeline within that
17	timeline? What's that mean? 'Cause
18	right now the only meaning it has is
19	it's 605 days for this particular
20	parcel. What does it mean?
21	MR. REEDY: Okay. Let me take a
22	shot at this. There is a concept if
23	you've read the concept of operable
24	units is It was really kind of
25	introduced a little bit prematurely.

1	Operable units is a term that's an EPA
2	term. That's one of ours, and we have
3	the flexibility, really, to make an
4	operable unit be kind of what we want it
5	to be. It can include Site 15. It can
6	include all of the hills out here that
7	are underlain by limestone. It could
8	include various sites that are within
9	one parcel that you guys need right now.
10	There's a lot of ways we can juggle
11	operable units around, and they're
12	designed that way. They're designed to
13	be done that way. One of the things,
14	however that is a little misleading in
15	here, not misleading so much as it's a
16	little naive. In this document record
17	operable units run absolutely parallel
18	to parcels. Parcels, we make parcels up
19	based on y'all's input, but a parcel may
20	contain two or three different sites.
21	Some of the gravesites that we were
22	talking about, it may have a building
23	with asbestos. It could have, you know,
24	one of your parcels may include a hunk
25	of the place where you did the

1	creosoting down there. So there may be
2	a hunk of that parcel that could be
3	transferred today; a piece of that
4	parcel that could be transferred if we
5	did a little removal action, yanked a
6	tank out of the ground, and another
7	parcel of property, it might now we
8	might not be able to get that thing
9	cleaned up for reuse for, you know, a
10	few years yet. So the parcel
11	designations are not right now the
12	parcel designations are reflective of
13	y'all's wishes and the knowledge that we
14	have of the sites within that parcel as
15	best we knew at the time so they may
16	change a little bit, and if they change
17	all the timelines will go in the tank.
18	MR. LEVY: In fact, we did our very
19	best to key it towards the phasing plan
20	that the Reuse Authority put out, and
21	that's why they're grouped that way, and
22	again, as Bart said, there's several
23	different pieces in those parcels that
24	have different issues. So it's very
25	difficult to say give me a timeline for

1	that one parcel because there's several
2	different issues within that parcel that
3	we have to get to.
4	MR. REEDY: The goal that we have
5	is to be able to identify, for example,
6	by way of example. Some of the parcels
7	include pistol ranges. Okay? And I
8	think as taxpayers, you know, we might
9	not want to spend a bizillion dollars
10	cleaning up pistol ranges in the middle
11	of a pine thicket. We can design an
12	operable unit that would encompass all
13	of the pistol ranges so we'd be doing a
14	little work over here in Parcel 15, some
15	work over in parcel fill-in-the-blank.
16	Those then would be the most expeditious
17	way to clean up property, but we've got
18	to factor in what pieces of property you
19	guys need, so both of those have to go
20	together.
21	MR. LEVY: Let me make a definition
22	here. Again, the plan has been designed
23	around the reuse plan; okay? And we as
24	a RAB, you know, we may have individual
25	ideas about what the plan ought to say,

1	but our intent was to meet the	
2	community's, in this case, desire or	the
3	FMDC's desire to get into the property	
4	based on their phasing plan. So it's	
5	geared towards that. If there are of	her
6	ideas and better ways to do it we'll	
7	certainly open up to it. We did a lo	;
8	of discussion on how we would design	the
9	cleanup plan to meet the needs of the	
10	community and try to capture the	
11	parcels, and it was a tough thing to	lo,
12	and I'll be the first to admit to you	,
13	it's not a perfect plan. It's tough to)
14	get through, but it was designed arous	nd
15	the reuse plan.	
16	MR. ANDERSON: I don't think	
17	anything we're saying is inconsistent	,
18	though. I think that the fact that	
19	you've done it around the reuse parce	ls
20	is the right way to go about it. All	
21	I'm asking for is it might be helpful	
22	where possible to have some additional	L
23	detail about when you think pieces wi	L1
24	be available.	
25	MR. LEVY: Where possible I would	

Τ	agree. If we could come up with that
2	information I would agree.
3	MR. ANDERSON: And in the places
4	where you know you've got grey areas, we
5	know there's no way you can do that.
6	MR. LEVY: At the first cut again,
7	we're talking about a Version I and a
8	Version II. This thing will evolve with
9	more and greater detail in terms of how
10	the sites are going to be defined in a
11	timeline.
12	MR. REEDY: You know, we have an
13	ongoing effort right now, ongoing effort
14	right now with IT to take what's
15	happening here and put more specificity
16	in it, and that's what I'm hearing you
17	guys say, and we are doing that, but we
18	have not thought of the timeline for
19	Category 1, 2, and 3 Parcels, and I
20	guess realistically it would be when, I
21	guess, when the Army backs out of it.
22	When the buildings become vacant would
23	be the or when you can talk the Army
24	out of backing out of them, wouldn't it?
25	MS. KINGSBURY: When the NEPA

1	documentation is complete, Parcels I,
2	II, III.
3	MR. LEVY: When you start
4	requesting property -
5	MR. REEDY: Assuming that's done
6	that would be the timeline.
7	MR. TURNER: I've got a question
8	about the other kind of timeline, and
9	that is the schedule with this kind of
10	document. The EBS; is there a final
11	version of the EBS?
12	MR. LEVY: Yes, and that's what
13	this document is built off of.
14	MR. TURNER: Right. I saw a draft
15	of the EBS, maybe two of them, but I
16	don't know that I ever saw the final.
17	Was there any significant difference
18	between -
19	MS. KINGSBURY: Charles, I handed
20	out the final EBS during the Weaver
21	meeting. Were you in that meeting? You
22	left early, and then I think you stopped
23	by my office to get a copy?
24	MR. TURNER: [Indicated in the
25	negative.]

1	MS. KINGSBURY: Okay, then you need
2	to stop by my office and get a copy.
3	MR. TURNER: Okay.
4	MS. KINGSBURY: Because that's when
5	I handed them out and you left early
6	during that meeting.
7	MR. TURNER: I didn't know that it
8	was -
9	MS. KINGSBURY: It's available.
10	MAYOR KIMBROUGH: When can we see
11	cleanup actually start?
12	MR. REEDY: Say again, sir?
13	MAYOR KIMBROUGH: When can we see
14	cleanup start?
15	MR. LEVY: You can in terms of
16	looking at what we're doing because
17	again, cleanup also consists of the
18	investigative phase.
19	MAYOR KIMBROUGH: I'm talking about
20	when we start remediation.
21	MR. REEDY: You want to see some
22	dirt being hauled.
23	MAYOR KIMBROUGH: We're closing the
24	base in '99; right? So what I'm saying
25	

1	MR. REEDY: I'm sorry. I can't
2	hear you.
3	MAYOR KIMBROUGH: We're closing the
4	base in '99.
5	MR. REEDY: Yes, sir.
6	MAYOR KIMBROUGH: When can we see
7	cleanup actually start so some of this
8	property can be turned over?
9	MR. REEDY: Well, I guess this is
10	the time we really need Ellis here
11	because he can speak contractually, but
12	I would say Lisa, now talk up here.
13	We've got SIs coming that will be coming
14	in the door when?
15	MS. KINGSBURY: They're out in the
16	field right now performing site
17	investigations on about 17 sites.
18	MR. LEVY: Okay, how? So there's a
19	30-day contractually there's s 30-day
20	delay, and on these there's also a 60
21	day delay contractually before it
22	happens, before the little document that
23	comes in and said we took a sample over
24	here and one over there and one over
25	here. There's 90 days built into it

1	already just by virtue of being a
2	government contract. Following that we
3	could probably if there's something
4	that needs cleaned up we could start on
5	we could actually start work on an RI
6	on one of those if Ellis can get it
7	funded, and I'm sure that he probably
8	can at that time, and one thing that
9	hasn't been set here is a removal
10	action. If there's a little removal
11	action that takes place like on some of
12	the tanks, on the we might be able to
13	do something for the pistol ranges.
14	Those, all you do is scrape the top of
15	it off and bundle it up and haul it off.
16	To answer your question, before you see
17	a big wad of dirt moved around it's
18	going to be three months anyways.
19	MR. LEVY: [To Mr. Reedy.] I
20	suspect that we will be doing some
21	removal actions in '99?
22	MR. REEDY: Oh, yeah.
23	MR. LEVY: So that will be the year
24	where those things that are out there
25	that we can get to, the majority of them

1	will be occurring in '99 to follow on to
2	the year 2000, but from a specific date
3	and time that can't be nailed down. At
4	least not yet. From a gross standpoint,
5	yes, in '99 you'll see removal actions
6	going on in the post.
7	MAYOR KIMBROUGH: When we started
8	we had a timeline that we were given,
9	were we not? This was going to be a
10	milestone and then this was going -
11	MR. LEVY: And I don't think we're
12	too far from that. In fact, the way the
13	program was built from a dollar
14	standpoint, the '97-'98 timeframe we
15	were in the investigation phases and you
16	start seeing '99, 2000, 2001 you're into
17	the cleanup phases, so we're really not
18	that far. And you know how funds work.
19	The '98 funds come in the year '98, so
20	the investigations actually carry over
21	into '99 by the time you complete them,
22	so it kind of falls behind a little bit.
23	MAYOR KIMBROUGH: If they don't
24	transfer we'll freeze them; right?

1	MR. REEDY: Mark, I think you had
2	question. What happens if the reuse
3	changes? If we can get a pretty good
4	head didn't you ask that?
5	MR. ANDERSON: No, it wasn't me. I
6	think it was Mr. Parks.
7	MR. REEDY: I'm sorry. If there is
8	any way possible that we can, you know,
9	change the schedule or something that's
10	going on to accommodate. Say you get
11	somebody coming in wanting to build
12	microphones and they need building X, Y
13	&Z,we will do everything that we can
14	do to get that done. In fact, I've
15	already done it at one base. It's do
16	able. From a policy standpoint there's
17	not a bit of problem. Programmatically
18	we can make it happen. Funding
19	sometimes is a little kind of "iffy'
20	but certainly DOD is as anxious to get
21	rid of the property as you are to get
22	it.
23	MR. THOMASSY: I would add from a
24	personal standpoint of having looked at
25	the document, I was pleased with what

1	you have put together, the general
2	organization of it and the fact that you
3	focused on the Comprehensive Reuse Plan,
4	and that was your focus going into that
5	Chapter 3 and then it was the detail in
6	the plans that you have laid out. I
7 ,	think you're on the right track, and
8	Margaret said that earlier. I just
9	wanted to follow that up because what
10	we're doing as a board is hitting you
11	with questions and things we'd like to
12	see or things that we think are
13	problems, but just because we're
14	focusing on those in our discussion
15	doesn't mean that overall you've got the
16	general direction correctly put down,
17	and we're pretty well satisfied with the
18	whole document. I'm speaking for myself
19	it looked pretty good.
20	MR. LEVY: You need to understand,
21	too, this is somewhat of a living
22	document because things will change, and
23	the requirement will change and this
24	document will have to reflect those
25	changes. Even as we come across a

1	discovery it will obviously change the
2	scheduling, and if we don't come across
3	a discovery then it will cut off the
4	timelines, and essentially we'll be able
5	to go through some sort of transfer
6	action quicker.
7	But our best efforts were focused
8	on the reuse planning and in their
9	phasing plan. You all saw the phasing
10	plan in there which we though was really
11	helpful. A lot of BRAC Cleanup Teams
12	don't have the kind of information that
13	we got in terms of putting this
14	together. Having the Reuse Authority's
15	Phasing Plan was really key in defining
16	how we were going to clean up. Because
17	I know from listening to other
18	installations they didn't get that from
19	the community. We did; so we were lucky
20	in that sense.
21	MAYOR KIMBROUGH: Ron, let me ask
22	you a question. On this chart of Figure
23	5(1), in the first priority as far as

the cleanup is for the Eastern bypass; right?

24

25

1	MR. LEVY: That's right.
2	MAYOR KIMBROUGH: Okay, we haven't
3	completed the site investigation at this
4	point so we don't know what it's going
5	to take to clean that up at this point.
6	Is that correct?
7	MR. LEVY: That is correct.
8	MR. TURNER: Has the EE/CA started?
9	MR. LEVY: The award for the
10	contract on the EE/CA is this summer.
11	In fact it's June.
12	MR. TURNER: And so the contract
13	will go and then maybe they'll start on
14	it late summer?
15	MR. LEVY: The ECA will start -
16	should start immediately following
17	summer into the fall and then again that
18	will feed into the decisions on the
19	cleanup.
20	MAYOR KIMBROUGH: Okay.
21	MR. LEVY: So '99 is the year that
22	we're looking for whatever removal
23	action we're going to do in fiscal year
24	199
25	MAYOR KIMBROUGH: Are you going to

1	be given the amount, a budget, and say
2	work within this or after your site
3	investigation and after it's determined
4	approximately how much is going to be
5	needed for that then will you request
6	that money? What I'm asking is, is
7	there a possibility that this could be
8	so expensive that it would take all of
9	the money from the first year or
10	whatever to clean up the Eastern bypass?
11	MR. LEVY: I'll tell you what we
12	budgeted. We were conservative with -
13	for the UXO issues, and on the Eastern
14	bypass we were very conservative, and
15	what's been budgeted should be adequate
16	enough to cover it. But, you know,
17	there is a possibility what we'd end up
18	doing is programming within what we've
19	been budgeted for that year, and I've
20	given you some indications of what we're
21	looking for in the year '99 is almost 30
22	million dollars, around 30 million
23	dollars, so I believe we've got adequate
24	funds to cover it. Depending on the
25	issue we can always go back and request

additional dollars.

2 MR. TURNER: We're just talking 3 about doing just the actual corridor; 4 right? Whatever road plus.

MR. LEVY: Yes. And again, from the UXO standpoint because that's what we're talking about now; the Eastern bypass, of course, was a priority, but we also asked for funds that would cover the remaining development areas. The development area is really the contonement rea around the post because we knew that was a priority.

So Phase I was the Eastern bypass, and the other part was the remaining development area, and Phase II is the remaining development area, but they are actually being funded in the same year, and the third was the Choccolocco Mountains that which encompasses a National Wildlife Refuge, so from the priority standpoint the focus is the contonement area and the Eastern bypass first and those areas that are around the contonement area that the plan

1	reflects we're going to get into quicker
2	such as development reserve that's on
3	there. How we end up on the cleanup
4	side of that remains to be seen.
5	MR. TURNER: Now on the Choccolocco
6	Mountains are y'all going to actually
7	clean up the Choccolocco Mountains?
8	MR. LEVY: It remains to be seen
9	what level of cleanup we'll do out there
10	because we haven't completed the
11	investigative work, and until we do that
12	we can't tell you what we can or cannot
13	do.
14	MR. REEDY: We really are talking
15	about how much is a horse worth.
16	MR. TURNER: But you're just
17	talking about a transfer, a transfer
18	within the government, right, from DOD
19	to interior, so it doesn't have to go
20	through FOST & FOSL and all that?
21	Right?
22	MR. LEVY: No, not true. We'll
23	have to go through some sort of process
24	on the transfer. However
25	MR. CONROY: The MOA hasn't been

1	written yet.
2	MR. LEVY: FOST & FOSL may not be -
3	- they'll be a regulatory review of that
4	property believe it or not as it goes
5	from one federal agency into the next.
6	There's actually a process they'll do
7	for that. However, I don't think the
8	term is FOST & FOSL because it didn't
9	have the type of review from a fed
10	standpoint. Does that answer your
11	question?
12	MR. TURNER: Yes.
13	MR. LEVY: It's 20 minutes till
14	eight. Do we want to move on or do we
15	want to take a break?
16	MR. TURNER: Let's take a break.
17	MR. THOMASSY: Any other question?
18	Do you want to take a break? Then we'll
19	come back and go ahead and get into a
20	community report, relations report.
21	[The Restoration Advisory Board
22	meeting was in recess at 7:40 and
23	resumed at 7:55.]
24	MR. THOMASSY: Let's start where we
25	left off. Are there any other specific

1	items of discussion on the Base Cleanup
2	Plan that we need to go through tonight?
3	We're going to get some other shots at
4	this so it's not a dead-end situation.
5	MAYOR KIMBROUGH: Can I ask my
6	question? Where is the landfill in all
7	these phases of everything on
8	priorities?
9	MR. LEVY: I'll have to go back and
10	look at it.
11	MR. TURNER: Are you talking about
12	Number 3?
13	MR. LEVY: I'd have to go back and
14	bounce it off of the parcels because I
15	can't remember right off hand myself.
16	MS. KINGSBURY: We took samples out
17	there in February and we just received
18	the report today from that monitoring.
19	We just got it in today.
20	MR. LEVY: He's asking where it
21	falls within the master environmental
22	program schedule.
23	MAYOR KIMBROUGH: These are
24	priorities?
25	MR. LEVY: Mayor Kimbrough, if

	you'l	l g	ive me	a chanc	e afte	r the	
2	meeti	ng v	we can	get tog	ether.		
3		MR.	. ANDER	SON:	And Li	isa what	you're
4	sayin	gi	s it's	safe to	drink	my wate	r
5	when	Ιg	go home	tonigh	t; righ	ıt?	
6		MS.	. KINGS	BURY:	I th	ink so.	I
7	haven	't :	read th	le repor	t yet,	but -	
8		MR.	. LEVY:	You do	n't kno	w where	he
9	lives						
10		[La	aughter	emanat	ed thro	oughout t	the
11		cor	nferenc	e room.]		
12	MAYOR	KII	MBROUGH	:	Will y	you let 1	us know
13	if it	is	n't?				
14		MR.	. TURNE	R:	You be	etter le	t her
15	check	on	that.				
16		MR.	. ANDER	SON:	I'll c	call you	, Lisa.
17		MS.	. KINGS	BURY:	As a	matter	of fact
18	we pr	obal	bly can	give y	ou an ı	update o	n
19	that	nex	kt mont	h if yo	u'd lik	ce.	
20		MAY	OR KIM	BROUGH:	Than	k you.	
21		MR.	. ANDER	SON:	I want	an upda	ate
22	tomor	row					
23		[La	aughter	contin	ued to	emanate	
24	throu	gho	ut the	meeting	room.]	
25		MR.	. THOMA:	SSY:	Before	anybod	y makes

1	the coffee.
2	Okay, any other burning questions
3	or hot issues on that one? All right,
4	if not, let's go on to the community
5	relations report.
6	Joan, do you have one item?
7	MS. MCKINNEY: Just one item very
8	quickly. You know we are going to we
9	are going out into the community every
10	other month to a civic organization, and
11	we do have one scheduled for the 11th of
12	June at the Jacksonville Exchange Club.
13	MR. ANDERSON: And also I heard
14	famous Amos on the radio this morning.
15	Famous Amos, he's sitting right there.
16	[Indicating to Ron Levy.]
17	MR. LEVY: This morning Ron Levy,
18	yours truly, went on WDNG Rob Street,
19	and I think it's called Street Talk?
20	And this was at the urging of Charles,
21	and discussed the RAB and its role on
22	some cleanup issues at McClellan, and
23	I'll do that again probably sometime in
24	the future again. He did invite me
25	back.

1	MR. THOMASSY: Good.
2	MS. LONGSTRETH: Let me know the
3	dates because I don't usually listen to
4	Street Talk.
5	[Several members concurred.]
6	MR. TURNER: I'm going to call in
7	asking about secret meetings.
8	MR. LEVY: In fact, the next time
9	I'll do that. I'll let the RAB know the
10	dates. I apologize if I didn't get that
11	out to you. It would have been good to
12	have everybody listen in.
13	MAYOR KIMBROUGH: Some of us might
14	like to call in.
15	MR. LEVY: As a matter of fact
16	there were some call-ins.
17	MR. TURNER: More secret stuff
18	going on.
19	MR. LEVY: Somebody asked me why I
20	closed the installation.
21	[Laughter emanated throughout the
22	meeting room.]
23	MR. THOMASSY: Any other community
24	relations items?
25	[No other issues were brought

1	forth.]
2	MR. THOMASSY: Okay. Old business.
3	We discussed RAB memberships and RAB
4	membership nominations last time. Joan
5	McKinney's developed a couple of letters
6	to go out and have people submit their
7	applications for nomination or to update
8	their applications if they had been
9	asked in the past. Those will go out
10	between now and next meeting. That will
11	start the nomination process which we'll
12	then, I think, should establish a side
13	committee to do the review of those
14	nominations that come in, bring a number
15	that we need in order to vote. We only
16	have one vacancy at this point, and come
17	up with a new member.
18	MR. TURNER: Did we have somebody
19	resigning?
20	MR. THOMASSY: Yeah, John Johnson
21	resigned. Then he asked that he be put
22	back into the pot and considered again.
23	MR. TURNER: Wasn't there also some
24	talk about removing a member that wasn't
25	coming?

1	MR. THOMASSY: Same individual. I
2	talked with him and that's what brought
3	about the resignation, the fact that he
4	was too busy to participate at the time
5	but would like to be considered after
6	this summer because he should have the
7	time at that point.
8	Any other discussion or
9	nominations? Pete?
10	MR. CONROY: I'm sorry. I didn't
11	know if we were in the nomination phase.
12	MR. THOMASSY: Yeah, I wanted to
13	get through that discussion.
14	MR. TURNER: I looked at both of
15	these letter and they look great. The
16	only thing I have a question about, and
17	I think this might be a typo, but is the
18	use of this one, the rejection letter.
19	After the comma in the last line of the
20	first paragraph it says, "You were non
21	selected for membership at that time."
22	MS. MCKINNEY: We have an applicant
23	pool existing of folks who had expressed
24	interest and we're going to send another
25	mailing to them.

1	MR. TURNER: It's the use of this	3
2	word "non-selected". I didn't know the	at
3	was a word. You were non-selected like	е
4	a verb. Is that I guess that's wha	t
5	that is or an adverb.	
6	MR. CONROY: Where is that,	
7	Charlie?	
8	MR. TURNER: In this letter, the	
9	short letter. Shouldn't it be "You we	re
10	not selected"?	
11	MS. MCKINNEY: Oh, well, you know	۷,
12	that's an HR term. If you're more	
13	comfortable with not selected.	
14	Nonselection is also a term you'd use	if
15	you had said "we didn't hire you." Bu	t
16	sure, whatever you're comfortable with	h
17	we can change that. That's not a	
18	problem.	
19	MR. TURNER: Does anybody else se	ee
20	what I'm talking about?	
21	MR. THOMASSY: Some people use th	ıe
22	term, and you can't find it in the	
23	dictionary. "You were non-selected."	
24	It's not good English probably.	
25	MS. MCKINNEY: We can change that	.

1	MS. LONGSTRETH: I have a question.
2	What happened to those three names that
3	the lady brought in?
4	MS. MCKINNEY: They will get the
5	letter requesting if they'd like to
6	apply because they are new names on the
7	mailing list. So they'll get the
8	initial letter that says, "Would you
9	like to apply?" They were not
10	previously mailed letters.
11	MS. LONGSTRETH: Okay, so they were
12	given an option.
13	MS. MCKINNEY: Yes.
14	MR. THOMASSY: Okay, so you're
15	going to change that letter to not
16	selected.
17	MS. MCKINNEY: Yes, to not
18	selected.
19	MR. THOMASSY: Any other comments?
20	We'll see when we have the next meeting.
21	It will probably take a couple of
22	meetings I would think before we have
23	all the responses back in that we expect
24	to get. We'll get a count. You'll give
25	that to us at the next meeting, Joan?

1	MS. MCKINNEY: [Indicates in the
2	positive.]
3	MR. THOMASSY: Okay. UXO status
4	update. We discussed it a little bit a
5	couple of minutes ago. Pete?
6	MR. CONROY: Yeah, just as it
7	relates to public relations. You know
8	there's another RAB being formed right
9	now, and I know that will be confusing
10	because there will be two RABs in one
11	small town, so we probably need to be
12	clear as to which RAB we're talking
13	about.
14	MR. THOMASSY: With completely
15	different missions.
16	MR. CONROY: Completely different,
17	yeah.
18	MR. THOMASSY: How did they get the
19	name of RAB coming out of Anniston Army
20	Depot?
21	MR. TURNER: It's part of the
22	restoration process, isn't it?
23	MR. THOMASSY: Where there's
24	restoration action at a military base
25	you have a restoration advisory board

1	formed.	
2	MR. THOMASSY:	I thought the RAB
3	had been designated	as a restoration or
4	environmental oversigh	t board for BRAC
5	situations.	
6	MR. TURNER:	There are RABs at non
?	closing bases.	
8	MR. THOMASSY:	This is for non
9	closing bases, too.	
10	MR. TURNER:	Isn't that right, Ron?
11	MR. REEDY: Yeah,	but now Anniston,
12	to get on BRAC does no	t necessarily mean
13	that you're closing.	It could be a
14	realignment, and I bel	ieve I'm correct.
15	MR. THOMASSY:	But their mission is
16	changing, and some of	the things are
17	going to be taken out	of service and
18	some not, so that's wh	ere the BRAC part
19	of it goes into play o	ver there.
20	MR. LEVY: But tr	uly RABs can be
21	formed at any installa	tion where there
22	is restoration work go	ing on. In fact,
23	there's lots of active	installations out
24	there with past histor	ies of
25	contamination that nee	ds to be addressed

73

L	and cleaned up, and the DOD's position
2	is that in those cases the community
3	needs to be given an opportunity to form
4	their own Restoration Advisory Board.
5	So all installations across the U.S. can
5	have RABs depending on what their
7	programs are. The focus, though, and I
3	think the policy states that there is an
9	option at the active installations
10	whether or not to have a RAB, but at
11	BRAC installations it's a requirement on
12	the part of DOD to have a RAB meeting.
13	MR. TURNER: And so AOD's going to
14	have its own RAB concerned with the
15	issues at AOD.
16	MR. LEVY: Right.
17	Can I bring up an issue? Do we
18	want to call ourselves the Fort
19	McClellan Restoration Advisory Board as
20	opposed to just a Restoration Advisory
21	Board similar to what the FMDC did?
22	MR. TURNER: The bylaws identifies
23	us as being the Fort McClellan
24	Restoration Advisory Board.
25	MR. ANDERSON: So does our web

1	site. The reason I said that is that
2	our letterhead says Restoration Advisor
3	Board, Fort McClellan.
4	MR. LEVY: Maybe we need to add
5	Fort McClellan Restoration Advisory
6	Board. We can change it on the
7	letterhead.
8	MR. THOMASSY: It's what the bylaws
9	say. We just screwed up our letterhead.
10	Can you change that, Joan?
11	MS. MCKINNEY: I just followed what
12	we did the last time.
13	MR. LEVY: Should have said
14	something.
15	MS. MCKINNEY: No problem. That's
16	what discs are made for.
17	MR. THOMASSY: Yeah, we're going to
18	have a little confusion in the
19	community. There's no doubt with that
20	other RAB.
21	MR. CONROY: Just out of curiosity,
22	anybody in this room going to serve on
23	that one? Is anyone on this board going
24	to serve on that RAB?
25	MR. LEVY: You asked a question?

1	MR. CONROY: Is anybody on this
2	board going to serve on that RAB?
3	MR. PARKS: The pay's so good on
4	this one I was thinking about it.
5	[Laughter filled the meeting room.]
6	MR. CONROY: The pay is twice what
7	it is on this one on the other one.
8	[Laughter again filled the meeting
9	room.]
10	MR. THOMASSY: Okay, UXO status.
11	MR. TURNER: Are they going to
12	serve refreshments at those? Are they
13	going to have better cookies?
14	[Laughter again emanated throughout
15	the meeting room.]
16	MR. LEVY: Let me move us on since
17	Fern brought us into the UXO status
18	update. I've got some things to say on
19	that. There was a handout. Did you-all
20	get this handout? It's on the table
21	back there, so if you want to pick it up
22	on the way out.
23	What we attempted to do is, since
24	you were interested particularly in the
25	UXO issue is lay out the schedule of

1	what Huntsville's planning. Now I'll
2	tell you what's listed here is really
3	something looked at hard by the BRAC
4	Cleanup Team. We've got a meeting
5	scheduled for, I think, July up in
6	Huntsville where the BRAC cleanup team's
7	going to sit down and go through some of
8	this, but these are the dates that the
9	Army has set aside at this point for the
10	issues attending to the UXO. And you'll
11	notice that the EE/CAs are in there; the
12	bypass EE/CA's in there; the
13	redevelopment area; the contonement
14	area's in there; and the Choccolocco
15	Mountain EE/CAs are in there. So those
16	are the dates, and I think some of that
17	addresses questions that you-all had
18	about UXO?
19	MR. CONROY: And this is a moving
20	dirt EE/CA schedule; right?
21	MR. REEDY: Dirt, moving dirt.
22	MR. LEVY: Well, yeah, there is
23	dates in there for clearance
24	requirements which is really the part
25	when it comes to the removal action.

1	Now I can go through and address
2	any of these things that you have
3	questions on.
4	MR. TURNER: I've got a question on
5	the next to the last entry. That says
6	"bypass construction, S-U-P-T". Is that
7	support?
8	MR. LEVY: That involves the issue
9	of the Statement of Work, the award, the
10	work plans, the actual field -
11	MR. TURNER: Is that what that
12	stands for is for bypass construction
13	support?
14	MS. KINGSBURY: Right.
15	MR. LEVY: Is that your only
16	question? Support; right.
17	MR. TURNER: And I was going to ask
18	you what that included.
19	MS. KINGSBURY: That's the moving
20	dirt part.
21	MR. TURNER: That's the moving dirt
22	part, Charles. So they figure they're
23	going to be complete, finished moving
24	dirt September of double ought.
25	MR. LEVY: Right, and this was

1	something that was actually set down
2	with DOT and Huntsville Corp of
3	Engineers, and I believe we had Rob
4	there, too, didn't we at one point?
5	MR. TURNER: And does this dovetail
6	with the stage plans for putting a
7	highway through?
8	MR. LEVY: In fact, it does.
9	Remember that the bypass is really going
10	to be built in segments. That part
11	below the installation from I-20 on out
12	to the -
13	MR. TURNER: It's three phases from
14	I-20 to Choccolocco Road. From
15	Choccolocco Road to somewhere, and then
16	the last stretch over the hill.
17	MR. LEVY: I can't remember the
18	details, but I can assure you this was a
19	point of discussion amongst the
20	Department of Transportation and the
21	Huntsville folks in terms of meeting the
22	requirements to get to the construction
23	phase.
24	MAYOR KIMBROUGH: Ron, may I ask -
25	1 don't know. I might be the only one,

1	b	ut I have no earthly idea sometimes
2	W	hat these acronyms stand for. Do we
3	h	ave an index of acronyms somewhere?
4		MR. LEVY: I think in the EBS
5	t	here's an index, Environmental Baseline
6	S	urvey.
7		MR. THOMASSY: It's on the table of
8	C	ontents.
9		MR. LEVY: Let me just tell you
10	W	hat these are real quick: Supplemental
11	C	hemical Warfare Material Archives
12	S	earch Report, one of the things that we
13	n	eeded to do because we did not address
14	t	he potential for other chemical warfare
15	m	aterial that might be buried out there.
16		We want to make sure we get a full
17	s	creen of that such at kits where there
18	h	as been a history of use of kits on
19	F	ort McClellan, and we really don't know
20	W	hat happened, the disposition of what
21	h	appened to those.
22		We're going back to do a hard and
23	f	ast archival search to what happens to
24	а	ll material, chemical warfare material
25	t	hat came onto the installation or where

1	it may have gone after the final
2	disposition.
3	The EE/CA stands for Engineering
4	Evaluation Cost Analysis. It's similar
5	to site investigation, SI type work that
6	we've discussed in detail.
7	And I think that those are all the
8	acronyms; right?
9	MR. LEVY: TBD stands for "To be
10	Done or Determined."
11	MR. THOMASSY: To be determined,
12	yeah.
13	MR. ANDERSON: Which leads us to
14	the question on that one. What's the
15	story on your UXO contractor? I
16	understand that you were going with the
17	TERC for the other stuff but what's come
18	out of the discussions over whether or
19	not Huntsville would permit you, I
20	guess, to do it without them playing?
21	MR. LEVY: Plain and simple?
22	Huntsville which has been designated the
23	Center of Excellence for Unexploded
24	Ordinance, and it was really a corp
25	issue, a corp of engineer issue in terms

1	of what contract they were going to use.
2	I know the BCT weighed in on our desires
3	to see, to try to stay with one
4	contractor. It was essentially decided
5	that they would go with the contracts
6	that they have been working with.
7	MR. TURNER: So they'll act as
8	general contractor.
9	MR. REEDY: They being?
10	MR. TURNER: The corp will be the
11	general contractor and then what they
12	don't do themselves they'll hire out
13	with their little array of subs.
14	MR. REEDY: No, I wouldn't go that
15	far. They're the general in the sense
16	that they go out and hire subs. The
17	corp is not going to shovel dirt and
18	pick up bombs or look for them.
19	mr. Turner: Okay.
20	MR. LEVY: They essentially put the
21	scopes together and award it and then
22	they'll do oversight of the contractor.
23	All of the work is done by the
24	contractor.
25	MR. TURNER: What's y'all's opinion

1	as to what effect will that have on
2	cleanup, doing it their way as opposed
3	to your way?
4	MR. LEVY: What it takes away from
5	us is the ability to keep all the
6	knowledge of the cleanup with one
7	contractor. Now we've really got split
8	efforts here, and we were hoping to see
9	this one contractor which, in fact, they
10	stated they've got the experience and
11	it's in their scope to do; however the
12	decisions really are with the corp and
13	the corp's decision was to go with these
14	other contractors, and you've got to
15	understand, too, that there's a lot of
16	money involved. There are some
17	political considerations associated with
18	it. So all those things it really
19	wasn't in my hands. Let's put it that
20	way.
21	MR. TURNER: Okay.
22	MR. THOMASSY: Okay. New business;
23	anybody have any new business issues?
24	MR. LEVY: Can I just a couple
25	of things one announcement?

83

1	MR. THOMASSY: Yeah.	
2	MR. LEVY: For those of you who	
3	don't have the reuse plan, Lisa's got	
4	copies. Because I know Lisa promised	
5	the FMDC's Reuse Plan. We should have	
6	made copies of it. Lisa's got copies of	
7	it available, and if you'll see her,	she
8	will get you a copy; either mail it to	
9	you or you can come by the office and	
10	pick it up. I know the FMDC's got a	
11	limited budget. We were able to use	
12	some of the RAB monies to make copies of	
13	it for the RAB members.	
14	MR. THOMASSY: The next meeting.	
15	MR. LEVY: Well, still under new	
16	business; it's a point to note that we	
17	will be doing our first FOSL -	
18	MS. KINGSBURY: Finding of	
19	Suitability to Lease.	
20	MR. LEVY: Yes, Finding of	
21	Suitability to Lease. I'm having a	
22	brain cramp.	
23	It's a requirement under law.It's	
24	a document that essentially is reviewed	
25	by the regulatory agencies. It's a	

1	statement of the environmental
2	conditions of the property. It's
3	something that we have to notify the
4	regulatory community about when we do
5	this, and most of you are aware that the
6	FMDC is moving on post. They're moving
7	into building 65. Really it's right
8	next to, right down the street from my
9	building where we hold our RAB meetings.
10	MR. THOMASSY: When's this going to
11	be done?
12	MR. LEVY: Before the end of the
13	month is what the plan calls for.
14	MR. PARKS: They're having the
15	ribbon cutting on 1 June for them to
16	move into Senator Shelby and them
17	MR. REEDY: It may seem that we
18	might not need to FOST A FOSL for this
19	but it does fall under the, you know,
20	under the BRAC umbrella, and this is
21	what DOD and EPA got together and
22	finally came out with this is the
23	best way we know to ensure that property
24	that's being taken over for some other
25	use other than pure military is clean

1	enough to, you know, hold the occupants
2	without killing them, basically.
3	MR. THOMASSY: Is this a Category
4	1, 2, 3, or 4?
5	MR. REEDY: This is a 1, yeah.
6	MR. THOMASSY: It's a 1. Never
7	used for any dirt at all.
8	MR. REEDY: Correct.
9	MR. LEVY: There are some issues to
10	attend to. One; it's an historic
11	structure and it does have asbestos
12	containing materials, so all those
13	things need to be documented in the
14	FOSL, and this lead-based paint,
15	although those don't really have an
16	impact because this is going for like
17	use.
18	MR. THOMASSY: Okay.
19	MR. ANDERSON: And it's a lease;
20	not a deed in this case.
21	MR. THOMASSY: Next meeting.
22	Where?
23	MR. LEVY: The next meeting we'll
24	go back to the old schedule. We'll be
25	at Fort McClellan in our conference

1	room, and it will be on the 15th of
2	June, 6:30.
3	One of the things we discussed
4	before that, what we really need to get
5	on the agenda was the Peer Review and
6	what came out of the Peer Review, and we
7	will have somebody there from the Army
8	Environmental Center to brief us on the
9	comments of their review of our programs
10	and then take questions about that.
11	Now Lisa, let me ask you a
12	question. We were going to provide them
13	with the document of the Peer Review?
14	MS. KINGSBURY: I can provide it.
15	MR. LEVY: As a read-ahead?
16	MS. KINGSBURY: Uh-huh. [Yes.]
17	MR. LEVY: What I'd like to propose
18	that we do is mail it out to you and
19	maybe even get a chance to look at it
20	before you come to the meeting, but
21	somebody will be there to go through it
22	beforehand and tell you what kind of
23	findings they had. For those of you who
24	like controversy this is your chance to
25	see what somebody outside Fort McClellan

87

1	BCT had about our programs and their
2	concerns. So you'll be looking at an
3	outside review of our programs.
4	MR. THOMASSY: Okay, any other new
5	business?
6	[No response from any board
7	member.]
8	The audience has gone.
9	[Laughter overtook the board
10	members.]
11	With no further business I propose
12	we adjourn.
13	[The Fort McClellan Restoration
14	Advisory Board was adjourned at
15	8:20 p.m. on the same evening,
16	Monday, May 18, 1998.]
17	
18	* * * * * * * * * * *
19	STATE OF ALABAMA
20] CERTIFICATE
21	COUNTY OF CALHOUN]
22	* * * * * * * * * *]
23	I, SHARON R. RIFFE-CALL, certified court
24	reporter in and for The State of Alabama, duly

 $25\ \mbox{commissioned}$ and certified, hereby certify that the

1	foregoing dialogue which took place at the Fort
2	McClellan Restoration Advisory Board was by me reduced
3	to court reporting notes and afterwards transcribed;
4	and that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript
5	of dialogue of the members present at the Restoration
6	Advisory Board Meeting.
7	I FURTHER CERTIFY, that the Restoration
8	Advisory Board Meeting was conducted at the time and
9	place and in the foregoing caption specified, and was
10	completed without adjournment.
11	
12	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my
13	hand and affixed my seal at Anniston, Alabama, on this
14	the 27th day of May, 1998.
15	
16	
17	
18	SHARON R. RIFFE-CALL
19	Certified Court Reporter,
20	B1313